1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
4	
5	BOARD MEETING
6	HELD BY CONFERENCE CALL
7	x
8	June 8, 2020
9	12:09 p.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS:
5	FREDERICK C. BRAUN, III MARTIN CALLAHAN SCOTT MIDDLETON
6	GARY POLLAKUSKY FRANK C. TROTTA
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER JAMES M. TULLO, DEPUTY DIRECTOR
10	JOCELYN LINSE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TERRI ALKON, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
11	AMY ILLARDO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT ANNETTE EADERESTO, ESQ., AGENCY COUNSEL
12	WILLIAM F. WEIR, ESQ., NIXON PEABODY HOWARD R. GROSS, ESQ.,
13	WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP CAROLE TROTTERE, TODD SHAPIRO ASSOCIATES
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	* * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	MS. MULLIGAN: It is June 8th at 12:09.
3	This is the meeting of the Town of Brookhaven
4	Industrial Development Agency, our June 8th
5	board meeting and let's start with the roll
6	call.
7	This is Lisa Mulligan.
8	MR. BRAUN: This is Fred Braun.
9	MR. TROTTA: Frank Trotta.
10	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Gary Pollakusky.
11	MR. CALLAHAN: Marty Callahan.
12	MR. BRAUN: Scott Middleton, you're on
13	the phone?
14	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
15	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. BRAUN: No.
18	Ms. Scheidt?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. BRAUN: No, but we do have a
21	quorum, however.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
23	Also on the call I believe is Bill
24	Weir.

MR. WEIR: That is correct.

1 2. MS. MULLIGAN: Howard Gross? MR. GROSS: Yes. 3 4 MS. MULLIGAN: Do I hear Annette? 5 (No response.) MS. MULLIGAN: No. 6 Jocelyn? 7 8 MS. LINSE: I'm here. 9 MS. MULLIGAN: Jim Tullo? 10 MR. TULLO: Jim Tullo is here. 11 MS. MULLIGAN: Amy Illardo? 12 MS. ILLARDO: Yes. MS. MULLIGAN: Terri Alkon? 13 MS. ALKON: Yes. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Welcome everybody. 16 Lisa, I'll turn it over to you. 17 MS. MULLIGAN: Sure. 18 I just want to start out by letting 19 everybody know that Lori's unable to join us 20 today. She's having a problem with her eye, 21 so she's going to a doctor's appointment, so 22 I'm going to handle her CFO's report, which is

It's just to alert you that we feel confident that at our June 17th meeting, we

very quick.

-		

2	will be able to present the audit for your
3	review and adoption and also, PARIS. So when
4	Lori said she was struggling with her timing,
5	I just said I can say those two things for
6	you.

So those are the two items that Lori

was going to go over.

9 Does anyone have any questions about that?

MR. BRAUN: Lisa, to the best of her ability, those will be sent out ahead of time for review?

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. Thank you, Fred.

The audit, I think we'll definitely be able to get the audit out in advance. PARIS is a little bit clunkier in that we still have some work to do on it, we have time obviously, but it's also a huge document, so I'm not so sure we're going to be able to — sharing it has me a little bit concerned, but we'll do our best to get both of those to everyone in advance and honestly, we're going to have to figure out how to share it because we need to get it to you guys so you can review it to

1 2 adopt it, so . . . 3 MR. BRAUN: Right. MS. MULLIGAN: Worst case, we mail it 5 to you, like postal mail. 6 So does anyone else have any questions? (No response.) 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 9 The next item on the agenda is a 10 resolution for the Orbit Bloom project. 11 Just to remind everyone, in your 12 packets you were sent the letter that they 13 sent us explaining the project and also, the 14 updated cost benefit analysis. 15 We had a public hearing for them this 16 morning. There was no comment, no 17 participation and just as a quick overview, I 18 think in March they came to us and told us 19 that they had someone who was interested in 20 purchasing the equity interest in the project 21 and that project fell apart, they came back to 22 us now with someone else who's interested in

purchasing the equity interest of the project, 24 a company called DG Fuel Cell, LLC. 25 DG Fuel Cell, LLC is a wholly owned

2	subsidiary of NextEra Energy Resources LLC and
3	so the letter that you received has a lot of
4	information about NextEra Energy as well as DG
5	Fuel Cell or perhaps I should say DG Fuel Cell
6	as well as NextEra Energy, so, Bill, did I
7	miss anything in there?
8	MR. WEIR: No, you described it pretty
9	well.
10	As we discussed back at the March
11	meeting, which was actually our first
12	teleconference meeting, the sale
13	MS. EADERESTO: Annette Eaderesto.
14	MS. MULLIGAN: Hi, Annette.
15	MS. EADERESTO: Hi guys. Sorry.
16	MS. MULLIGAN: It's okay.
17	MR. WEIR: In the original application,
18	they did say to us that they would finance
19	this project through a sale of the equity as
20	opposed to a bank loan, so this is, you know,
21	again, following through what was a plan of
22	finance all long and as Lisa said, the board
23	had approved a sale to a different company
24	back in March and they backed out due to
25	COVID, so it should not have been necessary to

1	
2	do it again, but anyway, the new entity is
3	bigger and stronger anyway, so this would be a
4	good company to operate this to, they'll own
5	the project and Orbit Bloom will continue to
6	operate it in the Town.
7	MR. BRAUN: Bill, there was some time
8	sensitive nature of this?
9	MR. WEIR: Yes.
10	They were hoping to have closed this
11	back in May, so the final they have over
12	the weekend signed the documents in escrow,
13	holding it in escrow until the IDA approves
14	the sale.
15	MR. BRAUN: Does somebody care to make
16	the motion?
17	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
18	MR. BRAUN: Second?
19	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
20	MR. BRAUN: Are there any further
21	questions or clarifications?
22	(No response.)

MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, Fred Braun

25 Marty Callahan?

votes yes.

23

1 2. MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 3 MR. BRAUN: Scott Middleton? MR. MIDDLETON: Aye. 4 5 MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky? 6 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 7 MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? 8 MR. TROTTA: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Motion carries. It's 9 10 unanimous. MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, everyone. 11 12 The next item on the agenda is our 13 Uniform Tax Exemption Policy. An update for the board, we have been 14 15 working very hard on getting this cleaned up 16 and ready for adoption. We can resend it to 17 you, but I haven't heard very many comments 18 back. If anyone has items, questions, 19 concerns, items they'd like considered, this 20 is kind of the moment. 21 Did anyone have any questions on it?

(No response.)

MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.

Bill and I have some edits that need to

be incorporated, but we will work on getting

22

23

24

2	that in addition to the audit and PARIS sent
3	out in advance of the June 17th meeting.
4	Just so that you're aware, we have an
5	application, I received it in draft format, we
6	need a final application, but they've been
7	waiting to send it in because it we think will
8	fall under assuming you guys adopt the new
9	UTEP, this project will fall under the
10	parameters of the new UTEP.
11	Does anyone have any questions about
12	that?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
15	MR. CALLAHAN: So that means we'd have
16	to vote on that as soon as possible because
17	you have an application pending?
18	MS. MULLIGAN: Well, no.
19	The application, they've known for a
20	while that we had the moratorium, but I
21	think you know, they've been checking in
22	and I told them I thought we would be ready
23	with the UTEP sometime this month, so I think
24	that's why they sent it, so they would be
25	ready as soon as we're ready, but we should

2	adopt our UTEP when we're at the point that it
3	works for what we need, not because there's an
4	application pending because if I had told them
5	that we would have it had ready in January,
6	they would have put the application in in
7	January, so I think the priority is to make
8	sure that our UTEP is right, does what we need
9	it to do and then we'll follow up with the
10	application, but I think we're there.
11	MR. WEIR: Lisa told them that the
12	application would not be accepted until the
13	UTEP was adopted, so
14	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
15	MR. BRAUN: But it's a draft
16	application, so if they want to accept it
17	after we do the UTEP or accept the UTEP on the
18	17th, they need to have a full application in
19	by that time.
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Correct.
21	(Pause.)
22	MS. MULLIGAN: If there's no other
23	questions on that, the next two items on the
24	agenda, the On The Common at Rocky Point and
25	D&F Bellport.

2	Just wanted to let everyone know that
3	we closed both of those projects, so COVID-19,
4	working from home, all the awkwardness, we're
5	still able to function very well and we were
6	able to close both of these projects, so there
7	was a little we used FedEx a little bit
8	more than we normally would, there was a
9	little more jockeying, but other than that,
10	you know, both of these projects closed
11	relatively smoothly I'd say. So I just wanted
12	to give you a heads-up on both of those.
13	MR. BRAUN: I think congratulations are
14	in order for everybody that touched that
15	project at all.
16	MR. TULLO: Thank you.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: It was definitely a team
18	effort and so, you know, we all helped out.
19	MR. TULLO: Are those the two projects
20	that had the shortest amount of community
21	support, so that's always helpful coming from
22	the municipal side and then obviously from our
23	side, so
24	MR. CALLAHAN: Great job guys.
25	MR. TROTTA: Good job.

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you everyone.
3	The next
4	MR. WEIR: I really wanted to thank
5	Lisa and Joce because getting signature pages
6	executed, notarized and FedExed out has been
7	not an easy task and the two of them have been
8	incredible at getting everything done, so I
9	want to thank both of them for the great
10	efforts that they've put in for getting all
11	these transactions closed and public hearings
12	published and everything else because they've
13	been doing it remotely, but getting it done
14	seamlessly, doing a great job.
15	MR. TULLO: Amen, Bill.
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Bill.
17	MS. EADERESTO: Just so you know, the
18	governor is lifting there's a new
19	executive order where the non-essential
20	employees in the public sector can all come
21	back two weeks after we enter Phase II, so
22	that should be June 24th.
23	MR. TULLO: Oh, thank you, Annette.
24	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
25	MR. WEIR: He only extended the order

2	with respect to public hearings and public
3	meetings to do it electronically until
4	July 6th, so who knows, we might all be seeing
5	each other in person at the July meeting.
6	MS. EADERESTO: It's actually July 7th,
7	but we'll have to see what he does with that
8	because that's not the first time he extended
9	and suspended the
10	MR. WEIR: No, he extended it several
11	times.
12	MS. EADERESTO: Yeah.
13	MR. WEIR: Again, you would still have
14	to keep social distancing, so I think the only
15	way you could do it would be to use the large
16	auditorium to be safe.
17	MS. EADERESTO: Let's see what he does
18	with that. That may get extended again.
19	MR. WEIR: Yeah. Logistically it's
20	going to be a nightmare for certain agencies.
21	We'll see what he does.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, we will.
23	The next item on the agenda, as long as
24	nobody has any other questions about the two
25	closings we had, is an update on some of the

2 activity with our sales tax.

Just to alert the board, I'm going to just start out general and then go specific because it is a little bit complicated.

In general, the board authorizes a start date and an end date for the use of sales tax exemptions, so any time we give a project a sales tax exemption, you determine the start date, the end date and you also provide a cap on the amount of the sales tax that may be exempted. We tie it together to a dollar amount of purchases, but it's all outlined very clearly on the ST-60 form that we're required to send to the State, I guess announcing that we will be giving a project a sales tax exemption.

We call every single project, we used to have them physically come into the office for a training, we've been doing them over the phone, but we give them a training, we remind them highlight all these dates, we give them a cheat sheet of all the important information and then we follow up, we tell them staff is available for questions, concerns, you get

home, you go ah, I'm confused. We give them
our -- you know, make sure you call us,
contact us if you need a refresher and then we
follow up the training with reminders: don't
forget, this is a key date, it's coming up,
you need to give us these forms. We really,
really hold their hands.

In talking to other IDA's, I haven't had this conversation in a while, but previously we had been much more hands-on with our handling of the sales tax exemption than I think most of the other IDA's are. That may have changed because a lot of IDA's were moving in the direction we had already gone in, so I'm giving you that as way of background because I want you to understand that we don't just leave them out, figure it out on your own.

Even with all of this hand-holding, we recently have had three projects that we're having some issues with and before I move forward with any action, I want to make sure that the board is fully informed and make sure that we're moving ahead in a direction that

\circ			_
∠	you	approve	OI.

So one of the projects is Amneal, the

19 Nicholas Drive project, so that's a

facility on Nicholas Drive, not their main

manufacturing facility, but a warehousing

facility that they rent.

All of their sales tax tracking information, including the forms that are required by New York State, were sent in months late, even though we sent and called and reminded. The forms that they did send in showed purchases being made prior to their appointment, which is certainly not permitted because they weren't sales tax exempt at that time.

We sent them a demand letter indicating that they had -- we spoke to them about this, too, it didn't come out of the blue -- indicating that they had unauthorized sales tax savings of almost \$16,000 and that includes the actual sales tax and about \$3,000 -- I'm sorry, unauthorized sales tax savings of about 16,000 plus State required interest and penalties of almost 3,000. The

)	total was \$18,511.97, which they have to pay
3	to us and then we send all of it to New York
1	State. New York State then distributes it
	where you get this piece, you get that piece.
ō	So we're really just a middleman in sales tax.

When we sent the demand letter, we received a quick response back to them that they never should have included any of those purchases that they made prior to the appointment because those were, they explained, capital improvements.

I'm a little bit uncomfortable with this because they sent us tracking forms showing that they had been using our sales tax exemption, so I want to just outline that Amneal 19 issue and sort of set it aside as one example of what's going on and I apologize, if you have questions during this, feel free to interrupt me.

The next item that is on my list is

HSRE Mount Sinai. That's one of the Bristal

facilities in Mount Sinai, right near the

border of Mount Sinai and Miller Place on 25A.

So we have not received all of the

2	required sales tax tracking forms from them,
3	they have not all been completed and just so
4	you know, they were due January 15th. There's
5	another round of these forms that are due
6	July 15th, so from January 15th we still have
7	not received everything, but from what we have
8	received so far, we sent them a demand letter
9	and it was paid in full. It was \$19,625.52.
10	We processed that check. There's a whole
11	bunch of forms that need to go along with it.
12	We let that check clear and then sent the same
13	amount up to New York State. We did invoice
14	them for our time and that was paid to us and
15	we do, in fact, keep that money, but until we
16	receive all of the outstanding forms, I don't
17	know if there's going to be additional
18	potential recaptures and like I said, that was
19	from January 15th, July 15th we have an
20	additional round of tracking forms that I
21	expect we're going to get; January 15th,
22	though, we're not done with those yet. So
23	that's another project.
24	The third one that we're dealing with

The third one that we're dealing with
is EB Mount Sinai, which is the other side and

2	I apologize, I mix them up all the time. I
3	think EB is the assisted living and HSRE is
1	the senior housing portion of the project; I
5	apologize if I just got them flipped.

For EB Mount Sinai, for the next phase or the other half of this project -- and it is a standalone project -- we still have not received all of the completed sales tax tracking forms, but from what we have so far received, two demand letters were sent. One was for a subagent called Huntington Closet, it was a standalone, just that one project and it was for \$10,361.70, that check was received and we're waiting for it to clear before we process it and send it to the State, so that's sort of a standalone.

We also sent them a demand letter for just over \$106,000. That one is being contested by the company, they're contesting it. It includes multiple subagents and purchases made both before and after the appointment and for use of sales tax forms exceeding the capped dollar amounts, so that one's a little bit messy.

2	We received a check for about half, I
3	think it was \$55,000, they sent us a check,
4	but we're holding that, we didn't deposit
5	that, we're holding that until we receive
6	final resolution. We also sent for this one
7	an invoice for our time, which they did pay.
8	Their attorney has reached out to
9	Taxation and Finance for clarification on
10	this, so this is yet another outstanding
11	project. But until we receive all of those
12	forms, we're not even sure if there's going to
13	be additional recaptures and like I said, we
14	have the next round coming up, we're not even
15	done with the last one, so I am uncertain as
16	to how to proceed, but I can tell you that
17	Bill has suggested to me that I reach out to
18	Taxation and Finance and just kind of get
19	their input on what they think we should do,
20	but I wanted to make sure that the board was
21	aware and get your input.
22	MR. BRAUN: It's a question for
23	Mr. Weir.
24	The attorney for that project

supposedly had a conversation with Taxation

2	and Finance, I think about methodology or the
3	computation more than anything else. I think
4	we have asked him for some documentation based
5	on that phone call.
6	Have you, Bill, received anything or
7	Lisa, have you received anything?
8	MS. MULLIGAN: I have not.
9	MR. WEIR: I have not received
10	anything.
11	Lisa would not be calling up the
12	Department of Taxation and Finance and asking
13	for them to do an audit, we're kind of just
14	saying we have these issues and she at first
15	may not even disclose which companies.
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Right.
17	MR. WEIR: Say these are the issues,
18	we're looking for your guidance on what we
19	should be doing. We don't want Lisa to be in
20	the position or the IDA to be in the position
21	that oh, you were asleep at the switch, we
22	didn't address these issues. Lisa's been
23	trying to get them addressed and it is
24	you know, we're getting conflicting stories

coming from the company, sometimes incomplete

۷	stories and you know, they may not even
3	realize or they may not know the answers to
4	the questions Lisa's asking, so that's why we
5	just kind of throw it back to Taxation and
6	Finance, ask their guidance and if it gets
7	really to the point where it's totally
8	frustrated, Lisa could always formally ask for
9	an audit.

MS. MULLIGAN: I just want to follow up what Bill said and let you know that Terri has been tracking this, this has been a paperwork and number nightmare and she's been tracking all of this very carefully and Lori has also been very helpful. When we are ready for a recapture, Lori has been doing these very, very complicated calculations to figure out the dollar amount that's actually due, so I just want to make sure that Lori and Terri get recognized because this has not been an easy process.

MR. TULLO: No doubt.

MR. TROTTA: Who represents them?

MR. BRAUN: Peter Curry from Farrell

25 Fritz.

2	MS. MULLIGAN: And that's for the
3	Bristal projects in Mount Sinai and for Amneal
4	19 Nicholas. I can't think of who represents
5	them right now, but it's not Peter Curry, so I
6	just want to make that clarification.

MR. TROTTA: Yeah, yeah.

MR. CALLAHAN: Didn't we work with the guys in Mount Sinai before for Bristal on other projects?

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. Marty, thank you for bringing that up, that's a hundred percent correct.

We have done two other projects with this company. We did the project in Lake Grove and the project in Holtsville and we had no problems and I had a conference call with them and they said to me we've never had a problem like this before and I pointed out to them that we haven't had a problem like theirs with anybody else, we've had projects that went over their cap, made purchases before and after, that kind of thing has happened, but not to the extent of the Bristal project in part because they made the decision to appoint

2	a lot of subagents with the ST-60 and that's
3	not the process that most other projects use.
4	It's a reasonable way to do it, it's fine, but
5	it put a lot of responsibility on them for
6	tracking and following up and I think they're
7	realizing that that's been tough to do.
8	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay. All right.
9	So what are our choices here?
10	MS. MULLIGAN: Bill, what do you think
11	our choices are?
12	MR. WEIR: That's why we thought the
13	best thing to do was talk to the Department of
14	Taxation and Finance and ask for their
15	guidance because at this point it's hard for
16	Lisa and the staff to figure out whether or
17	not there's recapture due, whether they've
18	corrected it, properly corrected it, so we
19	figured make the most sense let the Department
20	of Taxation and Finance give us direction and
21	guidance.
22	MR. CALLAHAN: We have to have that
23	shortly because June 17th is coming up and
24	you're saying there's another filing in July,
25	correct?

2	MR. TROTTA: Have you had a follow-up
3	conversation with the attorney, with Peter or
4	should you, would that help or that's a bad
5	thing, I don't know?
6	MR. WEIR: I'm just not sure what he's
7	going to tell us other than what he's already
8	told us, which didn't quite make sense.
9	MR. TROTTA: Okay.
10	MR. WEIR: That's why I recommend we go
11	that way.
12	MR. TROTTA: Okay.
13	MR. WEIR: Get guidance directly from
14	the Department of Taxation and Finance as
15	opposed to relying on what somebody said that
16	Finance told them.
17	MR. TROTTA: Okay, I understand that,
18	thank you.
19	MS. MULLIGAN: Any other questions on
20	that?
21	(No response.)
22	MS. MULLIGAN: So I'll reach out to
23	Taxation and Finance and get their input in
24	general and we'll go from there and I will let
25	you know on the 17th what the next steps

^		7 7	
∠ 1	potentia	$\perp \perp \vee$	are.

3 So the next item on the agenda is a 4 suggestion to amend our fee schedule.

So a few years back, we changed our fee schedule so that we had an annual fee, a thousand dollar annual fee, to help cover the costs, the reoccurring and ever growing responsibilities that we have for each project. So each year when we send out the PILOT, we also charge a thousand dollars to each project.

What I am suggesting is that we amend that portion of our fee schedule to include a charge for a project that has subtenants within their building so that there's the thousand dollar charge in general and then it's \$500 for each subtenant because all of our tracking, all of our reporting, all of our information, we have to do some work for each of those subtenants as well as the project. So I wanted to put it out for discussion and see what your thoughts are on that.

MR. BRAUN: We probably have three or four projects now with subtenants, they'd be

2	grandfathered in under the thousand dollars,
3	so this would only affect new projects that we
4	approve and go forward.
5	So I'll put the resolution on the table
6	that we amend our fee schedule to add \$500 per
7	subtenant going forward.
8	Is there a second?
9	MR. POLLAKUSKY: I second that.
10	MR. BRAUN: Any questions?
11	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
12	MR. BRAUN: Go ahead, Frank.
13	MR. TROTTA: Lisa, is that compatible
14	with what other IDA's are doing or have done
15	and the fee, itself, is it equal to, better
16	than, you know, less or what?
17	MS. MULLIGAN: I haven't spoken to any
18	of the IDA's about this because we haven't had
19	our quarterly meetings, but when we broached
20	this subject a few years ago, we were in line,
21	give or take, I mean there is one particular
22	IDA that I won't mention whose fees are
23	considerably higher than the rest of ours and
2 4	they charge sort of a la carte for a lot of
25	different things, but that's what works in

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their community and that was a little bit of an outlier, but everybody else seemed to be in and around this idea and in speaking to Bill previously about that, the thousand dollars was in line with what other IDA's are doing.

I do not know if other IDA's have adjusted to account for subtenants, but I also don't know if other IDA's have the number of subtenants in their buildings that we do. Fred mentioned that we have three or four. I bet we have even more than that when you consider that we have two spec buildings and another one that's soon to be under construction if it's not already and then we've got a few projects where for various reasons, it was purchased and let me just back up. I don't mean a subtenant like if there's a real estate holding company and then the operating company is considered a subtenant. I'm talking about truly unrelated entities where the company says I have extra space, so I'd like to rent this out with the thought that down the road they might need the space and they'll be able to expand into it or the

2	thought that they're just going to use the
3	extra income to help pay down their mortgage.
4	So that's sort of what we're
5	envisioning. I don't know if other IDA's have
6	a separate fee for subtenants; Bill, do you
7	know of anybody that does?
8	MR. WEIR: No, I don't know of any IDA
9	that charges additional fee for subtenants.
10	MR. BRAUN: I think the point is,
11	there's extra work required than if it's just
12	a real estate holding company and its
13	affiliated tenant, so it's considerably more
14	than that and as Lisa's pointed out on many
15	occasions, PARIS continues to be a burden and
16	I think for \$500 per tenant, it's totally
17	appropriate.
18	MR. TROTTA: I certainly agree with
19	you, Fred.
20	MR. BRAUN: Unless there are any other
21	questions, there's a resolution on the table,
22	it's been seconded.
23	Mr. Braun votes yes.
24	Mr. Callahan?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

2	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton?
3	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
4	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
5	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
6	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
7	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
8	MR. BRAUN: Motion cares.
9	Back to you, Lisa.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: There's just a few other
11	things that I want to quickly go over with the
12	board.
13	There was a legislative adoption
14	recently that it has not been, at least to my
15	knowledge, signed yet by the governor, but
16	there is legislation pending to allow IDA's to
17	give grants and loans. I mostly wanted to
18	just give you an update that this is out
19	there. We'll see what happens. I'm sure
20	you've seen some reports in Newsday about at
21	least Nassau County pursuing this. The
22	legislation, like I said, is just waiting for
23	Cuomo's signature.
24	Then if, in fact and I suspect it
25	will be when but if, in fact, he does sign

2	this, the next step will be to come back to
3	the board and see if this is something that we
4	even want to offer. I think it's grants up to
5	10,000 and/or loans up to 25,000. I don't
6	know if you can mix and match, I have a couple
7	of questions about the way the legislation was
8	worded, but does anyone have any questions
9	about this?

MR. BRAUN: This is something that some of the upstate IDA's have been pushing for a number of years. There was a legislation at one time that allowed them to do this. Some of them have revolving funds, but that legislation sunset, sunsetted, if that's the correct word and they've been pushing this for a number of years.

I think some of them actually administered such a program themselves, others may have outsourced it to a third party, but we'll discuss it when and if the governor passes it, but for us to go into the lending program, Frank is certainly familiar what a credit union does and it almost requires us to set up a mini bank with all of its pitfalls

and perhaps some of its benefits, so a lot

more to be discussed and talked about for this

program. As I said, it's been pushed very

heavily for the last couple of years by some

of the upstate IDA's.

MR. WEIR: A number of the upstate, like, for example, Ontario County, they actually don't do it through the IDA's, they do it through their LDC's, which do have the authority to make loans and usually the source of those loans from years ago were federal block grant money, so it's been a revolving loan. They lend it out, as it comes back in, they relend it, they've been doing it for years. They did not put their own money into it.

Dutchess County LDC is looking to do one now with \$500,000 of the LDC's own money and they partnered with a not-for-profit company that does small business loans, you know, similar to like NYBDC to originate the loans to do other loan processing, but the money will be made by the LDC.

The new statute that is pending before

	2	the governor's signature is kind of unclear as
	3	to what the source of the money is, whether
	4	you're putting up your own money or whether
	5	you're borrowing money from the State or the
	6	federal government and relending it and if you
	7	do borrow it, is the IDA on the hook if
	8	there's a default because you'd have to
	9	imagine they're lending to small businesses
1	. 0	who are already hurting, the default rate will
1	.1	probably be pretty high.

The current bill is basically giving

IDA's the authority to do what LDC's have

always -- what actually LDC's were created for

when they were first created back in the '60s,

but the new bill has I think a lot of gaps in

it and was hastily drafted and not very well

drafted, I don't even know who put it

together, so . . .

MS. MULLIGAN: Does anyone --

MR. WEIR: By the time you figure out all the parameters utilizing this new bill as Fred said in creating a lending office, it would probably be moot.

MR. BRAUN: Several years ago I did

22

2	have a conversation with the New York Business
3	Development Corporation about administering
4	such a program for us. At the time there was
5	some interest, but I will tell you they have
6	their hands full right now administering a
7	number of other programs and I doubt that they
8	would be a third party that would be
9	interested in doing this for us if we, in
10	fact, had an interest ourselves.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: Does anyone have any
12	questions?
13	(No response.)
14	MS. MULLIGAN: So hearing none, there's
15	just two other items that I want to bring to
16	everyone's attention.
17	We received a Notice of Claim the other
18	day from someone who was injured at the
19	SHI-III construction site, so that came in the
20	other day. Annette is drafting a letter to
21	their insurance company, just reminding them

so that's one reason why we track the
insurance so closely and we make sure that
when insurance expires, we get in touch with

that we are indemnified under their insurance,

2	them and get new insurance, so that came in
3	the other day.
4	Does anyone have any questions about
5	it?
6	MR. CALLAHAN: What project was that,
7	Lisa?
8	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm sorry. SHI-III.
9	That's the All American
10	MR. TULLO: Assisted Living.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: Assisted Living right
12	near Pauls Path on Middle Country in Coram.
13	So we received that the other day.
14	From what I read briefly, it sounds like
15	somebody fell and was hurt.
16	And the other only item that I wanted
17	to mention was that the ABO letter from the
18	ABO's review of the items posted on our
19	website regarding Intercounty is almost done.
20	Howard and I and Bill have one item we just
21	want to clarify and then that will be sent to
22	the ABO. I can send it, I'll send it to the
23	entire group as well so that everybody has a
2 4	copy of it. I suspect that the ABO will print
25	that in their final report and so it will get

2	published. I'll send that around to
3	everybody.
4	Did anyone have any questions about
5	that?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Then hearing nothing,
8	the only other item on the agenda, I think
9	Carol is on the call?
10	MS. TROTTERE: Yes, I'm on.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: So Carol is from Todd
12	Shapiro Associates and I had asked Carol if
13	she wanted to just do a brief update on the
1 4	work that they've been doing for the IDA.
15	MS. TROTTERE: Hi everybody.
16	Well, things, of course, were a little
17	slow for a while, but I feel like in the past
18	week, last week, I sent out we had a
19	press release that went out on the Inter
2 0	Mechanical Services (sic) application, they're
21	a pipe fabrication supplier and that appeared
22	in Newsday and the Long Island Business News.
23	I have two other things kind of in the
2 4	pipeline that are ready to go. The D&F, it's
2.5	for a 70-unit residential apartment for North

2	Bellport and also, the On The Common, I have
3	On The Common in Rocky Point, that's all ready
4	to go. I was hoping to get like a rendering
5	of what they're intending on building because
6	it always gets better play if we could get
7	something like that and then
8	MR. POLLAKUSKY: You can get that
9	directly from Mark Baisch, who is the builder
10	for On The Common.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: That's the contact
12	information that we provided to you.
13	MS. TROTTERE: Oh, yeah. I left
14	someone a couple of messages, but I'll try
15	again.
16	MR. TULLO: Why don't you try his
17	website?
18	MS. TROTTERE: Okay. Thank you.
19	Then Newsday just reached out to us
20	today, they're doing a whole special section
21	looking at as businesses open, what are IDA's
22	doing. It's not anything to do with like any
23	of the business loans, they want sort of if
24	you're planning anything, anything
25	interesting, anything you're doing to reach

2	out to the businesses to help them in any way,
3	so Lisa and I are going to talk about that
4	after this meeting to see. You can take an ad
5	out in the section if you want, but it's
6	certainly not required to be included in the
7	editorial part of it and I think as far as
8	social media, I don't have Luca's report, but
9	I will send it to you, Lisa, after the call as
10	far as the social media stats.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
12	MR. TROTTA: I saw in today's Business
13	News they had something on Interstate
14	Mechanical.
15	MS. TROTTERE: Yeah.
16	MR. TROTTA: Yeah.
17	MS. TROTTERE: That was me.
18	MR. TROTTA: I know, that's why I
19	wanted to give you a pat on the back, it was
20	there.
21	MS. TROTTERE: Thank you, thank you.
22	They're always so good to us, the Long Island
23	Business News, so thank you for letting me
2 4	know.

MR. TROTTA: Yup.

1 2. MS. MULLIGAN: Did anyone have any other questions? (No response.) 4 5 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Carol. 6 MS. TROTTERE: Okay. Thank you, Lisa. 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Did anyone have any 8 other topics for discussion? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. BRAUN: In that case, hearing none 11 and before I ask for a motion to adjourn, 12 remind everybody that our next meeting is next 13 Wednesday, June 17th at noon. 14 Motion to adjourn, please. 15 MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved. 16 MR. BRAUN: Second? 17 MR. TROTTA: Second. 18 MR. BRAUN: All those in favor? 19 (Multiple ayes.) 20 MR. BRAUN: I suppose I should go down

Mr. Braun votes yes.

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

MR. BRAUN: Mr. Middleton?

Mr. Callahan?

21

22

23

24

25

the list.

1	
2	MR. MIDDLETON: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
4	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
5	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
6	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
7	MR. BRAUN: Thank you very much.
8	Our meeting is adjourned.
9	
10	(Time noted: 12:56 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public
17	for and within the State of New York, do hereby
18	certify that the above is a correct transcription
19	of my stenographic notes.
20	
21	JOANN O'LOUGHLIN
22	
23	
24	
25	